

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE		15162/02700	9619
09/891,997	06/26/2001		Tsukasa Yagi	15162/03790	
07/071,177				EXAMINER	
24367	7590	07/14/2006		NGUYEN,	HOAN C
SIDLEY AU	JSTIN L	LP		1100725	
717 NORTH	HARWO	OOD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3400				2871	
DALLAS, T	X 7520	1		•	
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/200	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	09/891,997	YAGI ET AL.	
)		Art Unit	
	David Nelms	2818	
Document Code - AP.PRE	E.DEC		

Notice of Panel Decis	ion from Pre-Appeal Brief Review
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re	equest for Review filed <u>6/13/06</u> .
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request reason(s):</li> </ol>	is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include rea	en filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. sons why a review is appropriate. ed with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	inues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appersist required to submit an appeal brief in action brief will be reset to be one month from morunning from the receipt of the notice of a	eals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been eal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant coordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal nailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the s Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	
	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4.   Reopen Prosecution – A conferent action will be mailed. No further action is	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office s required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) David Nelms.	(3)Michael Sherry.
(2) Hoan Nguyen.	(4)